

## Central Intelligence Agency



## DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

30 August 1983

LEBANON: Responsibility for Current Fighting

## Summary

Available evidence points to the Druze as having been most heavily involved in the shelling in Beirut over the past two days. The Shia were also involved, although apparently to a lesser extent. The 82-mm mortar rounds that killed the US Marines could have come from a range of no more than two miles, indicating that one of the Lebanese groups, rather than the Syrians, was responsible for that attack.

25X1

The Syrians have routinely provided intelligence, logistical support, and weapons to both the Druze and the Shia, but we lack confirmed evidence on the extent of direct Syrian involvement in the current fighting. We believe the Syrians at a minimum have been indirectly involved in the shelling over the past two days, and we know Syrian units have shelled Lebanese Government positions elsewhere in Lebanon.

25X1

\* \* \* \* \*

25X1

SECRET,	25X1
	25X1
Evidence on Factors Involved in Recent Fighting	
He have received numerous reports on the days lumerate of a	
We have received numerous reports on the involvement of a variety of groups in the shelling and small arms fire in and	
around Beirut beginning Sunday. The evidence clearly points to	
Druze involvementalmost certainly with at least indirect Syrian	
supportin the shelling.	25X1
	20/()
Syrian involvement in the small arms fire, on the other	
hand, is far less clear. The Embassy in Beirut reported	
yesterday that in addition to the Shia Amal militia, a variety of	
other gunmenincluding Communists and Nasseristswere in the	
streets, and there is no hard evidence on Syrian links to the	
current activity of these groups. US military officials in	
Beirut have reported that Army Commander General Tannous had	
indicated the Army sweep on Monday was aimed at seizing Shia arms	
caches in South Beirut. This Army-initiated move was a violation	
of a tacit understanding Tannous had reached with Shia leader	
Nabih Barri some time earlier, prompting stiff Shia resistance.	05.
	25X1
Deports on the shelling and small same files include the	
Reports on the shelling and small arms fire include the following:	
TOTIOWING:	
28 August 1983	
Beirut domestic service reported at 2103 hours, local	
Beirut time, that the shelling of the airport, which	
occurred 30 minutes earlier, originated from the Upper	
Matn. This area is Syrian-controlled, but Druze militia	
operate there.	25 <b>X</b> ′
28 August 1983	
Reuters reported heavy fighting between LAF and Shia	
militiamen, with one mortar round hitting a runway at the	0.51
airport.	25X
29 August 1983	
as of 1000 hours, Beirut local	25X′
time, Marine Amphibious Units at the airport received	23/
mortar rounds and small arms fire. No identification of	
perpetrators.	25X
• •	207
29 August 1983	
	25 <b>X</b> ′
During the late	25 <b>X</b> ′
0700Z hours, Druze artillery units singled out as	
possible targets a US Marine helicopter and tanks.	
	25 <b>X</b> 1
00.4	
29 August 1983	
At 0727Z, Druze elements began an operation toward the	
airport in the direction of the Marine contingent of the	1 0514
Multinational Force.	25X1

	SECRET	
29 Augu	Marines responded to shelling of their positions with	
	155-mm artillery, "silencing a Druze position."	
20 4	1002	
29 Augu	ust 1983  In a phone conversation with Ambassador Dillon on Monday,	
	Shia Amal leader Nabih Barri denied that the Shia	
	attacked the Marines. He said the shells came from the mountains.	
29 Augu	ust 1983	
	Syrian Army artillery battalion began shelling the	
	airport on 28 August and continued shelling through the	
	late afternoon of 29 August. The purpose,	
	was to prevent the Christian Lebanese Forces	
	militia from occupying positions to be vacated by Israeli forces.	1
30 Augu	the rounds that killed two	
	Marines were fired from 82-mm mortars.	
Support	for the Druze and Pro-Syrian Militias	
Druze a	vidence of the extent and nature of Syrian support to the and other militias was provided in late July	
	•	Т
		_
		_

	5X1
actively oppose a decision by President Gemayel to send the Lebanese Army into the Shuf.  The Syrian Government newspaper Tishrin warned in an editorial last Saturday that Damascus would "confront and deter" any move by the Army into the Shuf.	
Damascus has issued several warnings that Syria will actively oppose a decision by President Gemayel to send the Lebanese Army into the Shuf.  The Syrian Government newspaper Tishrin warned in an editorial last Saturday that Damascus would "confront and deter" any move by the Army into the Shuf.	
actively oppose a decision by President Gemayel to send the Lebanese Army into the Shuf.  The Syrian Government newspaper Tishrin warned in an editorial last Saturday that Damascus would "confront and deter" any move by the Army into the Shuf.	
editorial last Saturday that Damascus would "confront and deter" any move by the Army into the Shuf.	
In an earlier editorial, Tishrin commented that Gemayel's	
insistence on sending the LAF into the Shuf without achieving political reconciliation would ensure a civil war. It concluded with a clear warning: "We would like to draw Gemayel's attention to the fact that Syria is concerned directly with this matter in the event he still insists on carrying out his threat Gemayel and the others certainly know what this means."	5X1
Although Syrian editorials consistently take more extreme positions than official statements, we believe the editorials clearly signal President Assad's continuing inflexibility and	5X1
Syrian Political Position Hardening	
25	5 <b>X</b> 1

